



(19)

Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 1 348 341 A1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication:
01.10.2003 Bulletin 2003/40

(51) Int Cl. 7: A23G 9/02

(21) Application number: 03251437.4

(22) Date of filing: 11.03.2003

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR
HU IE IT LI LU MC NL PT RO SE SI SK TR
Designated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK RO

(30) Priority: 28.03.2002 EP 02252322

(71) Applicants:
• Unilever Plc
London, London EC4P 4BQ (GB)
Designated Contracting States:
CY GB IE
• UNILEVER N.V.
3013 AL Rotterdam (NL)
Designated Contracting States:
AT BE GB CH LI CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GR HU
IT LU MC NL PT SE SI SK TR RO

(72) Inventors:
• Seven, Jean-Marc Maurice Edouard Marie
82842 Rueil Malmaison Cedex (FR)
• Todd, Susan
00143 Rome (IT)
• Towell, Deborah Jane
Sharnbrook, Bedford MK44 1Q (GB)

(74) Representative: Hugot, Alain et al
Unilever plc,
Patent Division,
Colworth House
Sharnbrook, Bedford MK44 1LQ (GB)

(54) Frozen ice confection

(57) The invention relates to a frozen ice confection comprising ice granules, wherein said confection has a total solids content of from 4% to 10% by weight and comprising fat and emulsifier in a total amount of from

0.3% to 1.5% by weight. The invention further provides a pre-mix for the preparation of said ice confection.

Description**FIELD OF INVENTION**

5 [0001] The present invention relates to a frozen ice confection comprising ice granules and to a pre-mix for its preparation. More particularly, the invention relates to a free-flowing particulate frozen ice confection.

BACKGROUND TO THE INVENTION

10 [0002] The development of improved ice confections in particulate form is of considerable commercial interest. Frozen ice confections comprising flavoured ice granules, for example, which are both refreshing to the consumer and have an aesthetically pleasing appearance, are particularly desirable and are commercially available.

[0003] A disadvantage associated with ice confections containing ice granules is that, on frozen storage, the individual granules tend to stick or clump together, forming a hardened product. Not only does this adversely affect the 15 eating sensation experienced by the consumer but it also has a detrimental effect on the industrial processability of the product as the resulting clumped product can be difficult to handle, particularly with regard to portioning or dosing.

[0004] Various approaches to the problem of overcoming the effects of clumping in frozen particulate ice products have been proposed in the art. These may be conveniently be divided into methods for dealing with the clumping once it has occurred and methods for preventing clumping occurring in the first place.

20 [0005] Warming up the product from the frozen storage temperature to a higher temperature for a period of time can help to make the product more free flowing but this is generally undesirable where the product is intended for consumption from frozen as the changes in temperature can lead to problems with microbiological contamination.

[0006] Alternatively, by controlling the temperature at which the ice granules in the frozen confection are prepared, it has been found that the problem of the granules adhering to each other can be reduced. US 5,126,156, for example, 25 describes a method for preparing a free-flowing, frozen dairy product in which beads of product are prepared by dripping the formulation into a freezing chamber and are then stored at a temperature between -30°F and -40°F (-34°C to -40°C). In US 5,698,247, it is disclosed that if the temperature is maintained at -10°C or below during the manufacturing process (and furthermore if the granules are manufactured to be approximately spherical in shape) then ice granules in a water-ice product can be prevented from sticking together.

30 [0007] Coating of individual ice granules with a coating suitable for consumption (such as a fat, a mixture of fats and mono- and/or diglycerides or fibrous products such as carbohydrate polymers and natural polysaccharides) in order to give improved processability is described in EP-A-1 075 794.

[0008] An alternative approach is described in US 4,310,559. Here, adhesion of ice granules resulting from melting 35 of the surface as the ice blocks are crushed to produce the granules may be prevented by contacting the granules with a refrigerant for an extremely short period of time prior to sifting.

[0009] The existing approaches to overcoming the problem of ice granule clumping require complicated additional process steps or sophisticated process controls, leading to increased costs. Techniques involving coating the surface of the granules can have adverse effects on the final product eating quality. There remains therefore a continuing need for an improved method for the preparation of free flowing frozen ice confections comprising ice granules.

40.

Tests and definitions**Particle size**

45 [0010] Metal sieves in the range 0 to 9.5mm were used to measure the frozen particle size. The sieves were placed together in sequence (smallest to highest) to form a tower and cooled to -25°C. A sample of frozen particles (100-200g) is placed on the top sieve (9.5mm). The stack of sieves was shaken for 1 minute to ensure good separation. Each sieve was then weighed and the % weight of frozen particles in each size class was calculated.

50

Total solids

[0011] A known weight of liquid material is placed on the heat balance. The sample is heated until there is no change in mass and the %TS calculated.

55

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0012] The present invention provides a frozen ice confection comprising ice particles, said confection having a total solids content of from 4% to 10% by weight and comprising fat and emulsifier in a total amount of from 0.3 % to 1.5%

by weight.

[0013] The invention further provides a pre-mix for a liquid composition used in preparing a frozen ice confection according to the invention comprising a total solids content of from 4% to 10% by weight and including fat and emulsifier in a total amount of from 0.3% to 1.5% by weight.

5

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0014] The invention is based on the finding that by incorporating a total of from 0.3% to 1.5% by weight of fat and emulsifier into the composition from which the ice granules are formed, an improved frozen ice confection is obtained.

10

By means of the invention, free-flowing, low solids containing frozen ice granule compositions are provided in which the individual ice granules do not adhere together on storage. Not only does this afford the consumer an improved eating experience but it also simplifies considerably the manufacturing and handling of the frozen confection on an industrial scale.

15

[0015] Incorporating fats into frozen flavoured ice confections would generally be considered undesirable as it would be expected to adversely affect the taste properties. Furthermore, there is nothing in the literature to suggest that this would be effective in leading to improved flowability of the granules.

20

[0016] In WO 97/04663, individual water-ice bodies containing *inter alia* up to 5% by weight of fat are disclosed. It is stated that these wafer-ice bodies do not tend to stick together during storage at freezing temperatures. There is no suggestion that this property is attributable to the presence of the fat in the formulation and indeed in the example given in the text, there is no fat or emulsifier present. The composition exemplified also has a considerably higher solids content than in the compositions of the present invention so this would tend to result in sintering due to a higher proportion of unfrozen matrix.

25

[0017] Free-flowing ice granules comprising emulsifier and fat (although at considerably higher levels than in the present invention) are exemplified in WO 93/21779. Again, there is no suggestion that this effect is attributable to the presence of fat and emulsifier. Indeed, WO 93/21779 contains examples of other compositions where granules comprising fat and emulsifier sinter together to a mass on storage at freezing temperatures.

30

[0018] The present inventors have surprisingly found that by introducing a controlled level of fat in conjunction with emulsifier into the composition from which the ice granules are formed, it is possible to obtain a product with improved granule flow properties but which still has acceptable taste to the consumer. This improvement is unexpectedly greater than that achieved by increasing the amounts of either fat or emulsifier separately.

35

[0019] Ice granules in the frozen confection according to the invention have a particle size distribution according to which at least 80% by weight of said ice granules have a particle size of between 2 and 9.5 mm, preferably at least 90%, most preferably at least 99%. Granules of such dimension can conveniently be prepared in a continuous process. It will be understood that for spherical granules, this largest dimension will be the diameter. Granules for use according to the invention are suitably substantially spherical, particularly in frozen droplet form.

40

[0020] As used herein, the term 'total solids content' is intended to refer to the components other than water in the frozen composition. It will be appreciated that the choice of components will depend on the desired characteristics of the confection product. Typically, this composition comprises fats, emulsifiers, stabilizers, flavourings, acidifiers, colours and sugars. Preferred confections according to the invention comprise solids in an amount of from 5% to 8% by weight.

45

[0021] The fat may be any fat of animal or vegetable origin conventionally used in the art. Suitably, the fat may be coconut oil, butteroil, olive oil, sunflower oil, corn oil or mixtures thereof. Preferred fats for use according to the invention are coconut oil or butteroil. The fat may be present in the confection according to the invention in an amount of from 0.3% to 1.5% by weight, preferably from 0.5% to 1.2% by weight. The upper limit of the fat range in the present invention reflects the balance between improving the flowability of the product without having a detrimental effect on taste properties. Above the claimed range, taste is adversely affected.

50

[0022] Emulsifiers for use according to the invention include those conventional in the art. Suitable emulsifiers include saturated or unsaturated mono-diglycerides where total monoglyceride level is up to 60% and are sourced from either palm, soya sunflower or canola. Preferably, the emulsifier is a saturated mono-diglyceride emulsifier and is sourced from palm or soya. Typically, the emulsifier is present in an amount of from 0.2 to 1.5% by weight, preferably from 0.3% to 1.2% by weight.

55

[0023] The total amount of fat and emulsifier according to the invention should not exceed 1.5% by weight. Preferably the fat component is present in a greater proportion than the emulsifier component. In a preferred embodiment, the fat is present in an amount of from 0.4 to 1.2% by weight and the emulsifier in an amount of from 0.2 to 0.8 % by weight. Granules for use according to the invention are conveniently formed by dropping the liquid composition from which the granules are to be formed into a temperature-controlled environment in which the liquid mix freezes (see, for example, the method of US 5,126,156). Preferably, the cooling is effected using liquid nitrogen.

60

[0024] The invention is further illustrated by means of the following examples which are provided by way of illustration only.

Examples

[0025] Compositions having the formulations given in Tables 1 and 2 were prepared according to the following process steps:-

5

Mix process: All dry ingredients with the exception of the acidifier mixed together were added to water which was preheated to 80°C, followed by stirring for 5 minutes. Then all the liquid ingredients and acidifier were added, stirred for 1 minute, pasteurised at 82°C for 33 seconds, homogenised at 150-170Bar pressure and cooled to 5°C until required.

10

Granule formation: The liquid mix at 5°C was loaded into a mix holding chamber of 5 litres capacity which fed directly into a dripping nozzle of 1mm internal diameter. The liquid drops in turn fell into liquid nitrogen where they were rapidly frozen into approximately spherical balls. From here they were filled into a cylindrical type cup (height ~95cm, bottom outside diameter 63mm, top outside diameter 46mm) to a fill weight of 85g, from the base, the base being sealed on with an iron. The products were then placed at -25°C until required for measurement.

15

[0026] Notes pertaining to Tables 1 and 2 are as follows:

- (I) = any combination of citric and malic acid
- (II) = Quest IC9437, containing Carrageenan and Pectin
- (III) = Quest Admul MG 4004 a saturated mono-diglyceride
- (IV) = A 1:1 blend of Acesulfame and Aspartame
- (V) = Any typically used water ice flavouring: level shown is an example
- (VI) = Any typically used water ice colouring: level shown is an example
- (VII) = Refined coconut oil

[0027] TS indicates the total solids content as a percentage by weight TF indicates the total fat content (including emulsifier) as a percentage by weight.

[0028] The determination of these values is conventional in the art.

30

Table 1:

Recipes for Examples 1 - 4					
	Ingredient/%	Example 1	Example 2	Example 3	Example 4
35	Fructose solids	4.86	4.06	4.06	3.86
	Acidifier (I)	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
40	Salt	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09
	Stabiliser (II)	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
45	Emulsifier (III)	0.2	0.2	1.0	0.4
	Milk Protein	0.0875	0.0875	0.0875	0.0875
	Artificial Sweeteners (IV)	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.036
50	Flavour (V)	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17
	Colour (VI)	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
	Fat (VII)	0	0.8	0.0	0.8
	Water	To 100	To 100	To 100	To 100
	TS	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.8
	TF	0.2	1.0	1.0	1.2

55

Table 2:

Recipes for Examples 5 - 6					
	Ingredient/%	Example 5a	Example 5b	Example 6a	Example 6b
5	Fructose solids	10.0	9.0	1.8	0.8
10	Acidifier (I)	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
15	Salt	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09
20	Stabiliser (II)	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
25	Emulsifier (III)	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.4
30	Milk Protein	0.0875	0.0875	0.0875	0.0875
35	Artificial Sweeteners (IV)	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.036
40	Flavour (V)	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17
45	Colour (VI)	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
50	Fat (VII)	0	0.8	0	0.8
55	Water	To 100	To 100	To 100	To 100
	TS	11.9	11.9	3.7	3.7
	TF	0.2	1.2	1.0	1.0

25 [0029] Free flow test: Samples in a pot are squeezed (six replicates) manually at -25°C, the pot is then opened and upturned and the flow properties of the contents assessed on a 5 point scale according to which:

- 1= granules exit pot and are completely free flowing.
- 2=if granules do not exit at 1, pot is re-closed and inverted 5 times to separate the granules, which exit when lid is reopened and upturned.
- 3=as 2 but two gentle squeezes to the sides are additionally required before granules will exit. No residual deformation of the pack is seen.
- 4=as 3 but two harder squeezes are required which will deform the pack, leaving it still deformed after the granules are removed.
- 5=granules cannot be made to exit.

40 [0030] A squeeze score of 3 is considered the maximum in terms of acceptable flowability. The scores quoted in Tables 2 and 3 are mean values of the scores obtained for six replicate samples. The test is performed with respect to time, sampling every few days.

Table 3:

Squeeze Data for Examples 1 - 4				
Time/Days	Example 1 Squeeze Value	Example 2 Squeeze Value	Example 3 Squeeze Value	Example 4 Squeeze Value
1	2	1.5	1	1
2	2	1.5	1.5	1.5
3	2	2	1.5	1.5
4	2	2	1.5	1.5
5	3	2	2	1.5
6	3	2	2	1.5
7	3	2	2.5	1.5
8	3	2	2.5	1.5

Table 3: (continued)

Squeeze Data for Examples 1 - 4					
	Time/Days	Example 1 Squeeze Value	Example 2 Squeeze Value	Example 3 Squeeze Value	Example 4 Squeeze Value
5	9	3	2	2.5	1.5
10	10	3	2	2.5	1.5
10	17	4	2	3	1.5
15	22	4	2	2.5	1.5

Table 4:

Squeeze Data for Examples 5 - 6					
	Time/Days	Example 5a Squeeze Value	Example 5b Squeeze Value	Example 6a Squeeze Value	Example 4 Squeeze Value
15	1	2	1	1	1
20	2	2	1	3	2
25	3	2	2	3	3
30	4	2	2	3	3
35	5	3	2	3	3
40	6	3	2	3	3
45	7	3	2	3	3
50	8	3	2	3	3
55	9	3	2	3	3
55	10	3	3	3	3
55	17	3	3	3	3
55	22	3	3	3	3

[0031] Example 1 is a control example, with a composition that does not fall within the scope of the invention. It has no added fat and a total content of emulsifier + fat that is below the range defined in the invention. After 5 days the sample is at the acceptable limit of flowability and after 22 is completely unacceptable.

[0032] Example 2: 0.8% fat has been added to the recipe, and fructose solids reduced to keep the Total Solids the same as the control. Sample is free flowing throughout the test.

[0033] Example 3: 0.8% emulsifier has been added to the recipe, and fructose solids reduced to keep the Total Solids the same as the control. Sample has improved free flow over the control up to day 6, and thereafter tends to the maximum acceptable level, without going over it throughout the test. Compared to Example 2, however, the flowability is less good.

[0034] Example 4: 0.2% emulsifier and 0.8% fat are added to the recipe, and fructose solids reduced to keep the Total Solids the same as the control. Sample is free flowing throughout the test, with the flowability being better than that of any of Examples 1-3.

[0035] Example 5: In this example significantly higher Total Solids mixes were used to illustrate the effect of fat and emulsifier addition on free flow in this situation. The composition has a total solid content outside the upper limit specified for the invention. As the TS were different to Example 1, a new control was used (example 5a). Example 5b (ie with fat/emulsifier) does show an improvement with respect to its control (example 5a) but the effect is very small.

[0036] Example 6: In this example significantly lower Total Solids mixes were used to illustrate the effect of fat and emulsifier addition on free flow in this situation. The composition has a total solid content outside the lower limit specified for the invention. As the TS was different to Example 1, a new control was used (example 6a). Example 6b (ie with fat/emulsifier) shows no improvement with respect to its control (example 6a) and so it is clear that addition of fat and emulsifier has no effect when TS is lowered from 6.8 to 3.7, even though proportionately more fat/emulsifier is present.

Claims

1. A frozen ice confection comprising ice granules, said confection having a total solids content of from 4% to 10% by weight and comprising fat and emulsifier in a total amount of from 0.3% to 1.5% by weight.
- 5 2. A frozen ice confection according to claim 1 wherein at least 80% by weight of the ice granules have a particle size of between 2 and 9.5mm.
- 10 3. A frozen confection according to claim 1 having a total solids content of from 4% to 8% by weight.
- 15 4. A frozen confection according to claim 1 or claim 2 wherein the fat is present in an amount of from 0.3% to 1.5% by weight.
5. A frozen confection according to claim 1 or claim 2 wherein the emulsifier is present in an amount of from 0.2% to 1.5% by weight.
- 10 6. A frozen confection according to any one of claims 1 to 5 wherein the fat is present in an amount of from 0.4% to 1.2% by weight and the emulsifier in an amount of from 0.2% to 0.8% by weight.
- 20 7. A frozen confection according to any one of claims 1 to 6 wherein the fat is coconut oil or butter oil.
8. A frozen composition according to any of claims 1 to 7 wherein the emulsifier is a saturated or unsaturated mono-diglyceride emulsifier.
- 25 9. A pre-mix for a liquid composition of use in making a frozen ice confection according to any of claims 1 to 8, said pre-mix comprising a total solids content of from 4% to 10 by weight and comprising fat and emulsifier in an amount of from 0.3% to 1.5% by weight.

30

35

40

45

50

55



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 03 25 1437

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)		
X, D	WO 97 04663 A (UNILEVER PLC ;UNILEVER NV (NL)) 13 February 1997 (1997-02-13) * page 3, line 17 - line 22 * * page 2, line 33 - page 3, line 7; claims; figure * * page 5, line 19 - page 6, line 7; example 2 *	1-6,9	A23G9/02		
X	US 4 031 261 A (DURST JACK R) 21 June 1977 (1977-06-21) * column 5, line 42 - column 6, line 54; claims 1,3,17; examples *	1,9			
X	EP 0 508 529 A (UNILEVER PLC ;UNILEVER NV (NL)) 14 October 1992 (1992-10-14)	1,3-6,9			
Y	* claims 1,6,7; examples *	2			
Y	US 5 698 247 A (HALL GORDON) 16 December 1997 (1997-12-16) * claims 2,3 *	2			
X	GB 2 288 965 A (LEAF IRELAND LTD) 8 November 1995 (1995-11-08)	1,3-6,9	A23L A23G F25C		
Y	* page 3, line 12 - line 14 * * page 8, line 1 - page 9, line 16; claims 1,4,5 *	2			
Y	EP 1 075 794 A (FRIESLAND BRANDS BV) 14 February 2001 (2001-02-14) * claim 1; examples *	2			
		-/-			
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims					
Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner			
THE HAGUE	9 July 2003	Guyon, R			
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS					
X : particularly relevant if taken alone	T : theory or principle underlying the invention				
Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category	E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date				
A : technological background	D : document cited in the application				
O : non-written disclosure	L : document cited for other reasons				
P : intermediate document	& : member of the same patent family, corresponding document				



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 03 25 1437

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)
X	US 5 246 725 A (T. L. FISHER ET AL.) 21 September 1993 (1993-09-21)	9	
Y	* column 4, line 24 - line 28 * * column 2, line 43 - line 47 * * column 6, line 21 - column 7, line 10 * ---	1-4	
D, Y	US 5 126 156 A (JONES CURT D) 30 June 1992 (1992-06-30) * column 4, line 56 - line 62; claims 3,8; figure * * column 2, line 43 - line 53 * ---	1-4	
Y	US 5 215 777 A (JORDAN SUZETTE ET AL) 1 June 1993 (1993-06-01) * the whole document *	1-9	
Y, D	WO 93 21779 A (UNILEVER PLC ;UNILEVER NV (NL)) 11 November 1993 (1993-11-11) * the whole document *	1-9	
A	WO 96 29896 A (JONES CURT D) 3 October 1996 (1996-10-03) * the whole document *	1,2,9	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7)
A	US 3 582 357 A (KATZ MORRIS H) 1 June 1971 (1971-06-01) * claims 1,12; examples 3,5 *	1,9	
A	US 4 826 656 A (HUBER CLAYTON S ET AL) 2 May 1989 (1989-05-02) * the whole document *	1,9	
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner	
THE HAGUE	9 July 2003	Guyon, R	
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons A : technological background C : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	
EPO FORM 150a (02.02.92 (P04C01))			

ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.

EP 03 25 1437

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on. The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

09-07-2003

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9704663	A	13-02-1997	AU	6520096 A	26-02-1997
			WO	9704663 A1	13-02-1997
US 4031261	A	21-06-1977	NONE		
EP 0508529	A	14-10-1992	AT	139674 T	15-07-1995
			AU	662323 B2	31-08-1995
			AU	1483792 A	15-10-1992
			CA	2065787 A1	13-10-1992
			DE	69211748 D1	01-08-1996
			DE	69211748 T2	05-12-1996
			DK	508529 T3	14-10-1996
			EP	0508529 A2	14-10-1992
			ES	2089362 T3	01-10-1996
			FI	921590 A	13-10-1992
			GR	3020537 T3	31-10-1996
			IE	921158 A1	21-10-1992
			JP	2722155 B2	04-03-1998
			JP	5123113 A	21-05-1993
			KR	9515113 B1	22-12-1995
			MX	9201670 A1	01-10-1992
			NO	921452 A	13-10-1992
			NZ	242258 A	28-03-1995
			ZA	9202632 A	11-10-1993
US 5698247	A	16-12-1997	AT	205054 T	15-09-2001
			AU	703941 B2	01-04-1999
			AU	7290696 A	29-05-1997
			BR	9611392 A	13-07-1999
			CA	2236676 A1	15-05-1997
			CN	1201371 A	09-12-1998
			CZ	9801388 A3	12-08-1998
			DE	69615047 D1	11-10-2001
			DE	69615047 T2	06-06-2002
			WO	9716980 A1	15-05-1997
			EP	0863711 A1	16-09-1998
			ES	2163044 T3	16-01-2002
			HU	9903350 A2	28-07-2000
			IL	123415 A	30-04-2001
			JP	11514529 T	14-12-1999
			NZ	320205 A	29-06-1999
			PL	326656 A1	12-10-1998
			SK	58098 A3	09-09-1998
			TR	9800807 T2	21-07-1998
			ZA	9609125 A	30-04-1998

EPO FORM 00459
For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82

ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.

EP 03 25 1437

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

09-07-2003

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
GB 2288965	A	08-11-1995	IE	940319 A2	23-08-1995
			FR	2718331 A1	13-10-1995
			IE	950254 A1	15-11-1995
EP 1075794	A	14-02-2001	NL	1012820 C2	14-02-2001
			EP	1075794 A1	14-02-2001
US 5246725	A	21-09-1993	NONE		
US 5126156	A	30-06-1992	NONE		
US 5215777	A	01-06-1993	AU	2394792 A	02-03-1993
			WO	9302567 A2	18-02-1993
			MX	9204929 A1	01-02-1993
			AU	1750892 A	30-12-1992
			AU	6082696 A	03-10-1996
			CA	2046741 A1	17-11-1992
			CA	2050429 A1	17-11-1992
			WO	9220239 A1	26-11-1992
			EP	0584156 A1	02-03-1994
			JP	6507312 T	25-08-1994
WO 9321779	A	11-11-1993	AU	4265893 A	29-11-1993
			CA	2134121 A1	11-11-1993
			CN	1078864 A	01-12-1993
			EP	0637208 A1	08-02-1995
			FJ	944990 A	24-10-1994
			WO	9321779 A1	11-11-1993
			JP	7505784 T	29-06-1995
			NO	944020 A	24-10-1994
			TR	26703 A	15-05-1995
			ZA	9302846 A	24-10-1994
WO 9629896	A	03-10-1996	AT	200955 T	15-05-2001
			AU	694027 B2	09-07-1998
			AU	5529196 A	16-10-1996
			BR	9607904 A	30-11-1999
			CA	2216375 A1	03-10-1995
			CN	1184408 A ,B	10-06-1998
			DE	69612741 D1	13-06-2001
			DE	69612741 T2	20-09-2001
			EP	0817575 A1	14-01-1998
			HK	1009373 A1	17-05-2002
			JP	11502103 T	23-02-1999
			KR	239334 B1	15-01-2000
			PH	30503 A	13-06-1997

EPO FORM 10456
For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82

ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.

EP 03 25 1437

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

09-07-2003

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9629896 A	WO US	9629896 A1 5664422 A	03-10-1996 09-09-1997
US 3582357 A	01-06-1971	NONE	
US 4826656 A	02-05-1989	NONE	

EPO FORM P0459

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82